



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,587	08/26/2003	Michael Ian Willer	50T5549.01 4084	
759	90 05/04/2005	•	EXAMINER	
ROGITZ & AS	SSOCIATES		BROADHEA	D, BRIAN J
Suite 3120 750 B Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Diego, CA 92101			3661	-
·			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/648,587	WILLER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Brian J. Broadhead	3661			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 February 2005.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 August 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8-26-03.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 9-15, 17, 20, 21, 22, 23-25, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-7, 9-15, 17, 20, 21, 22, 23-25, and 27 contain the trademark/trade name Bluetooth. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe a standard for wireless tranmission and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/648,587

Art Unit: 3661

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. Claims 1 through 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCarthey et al., 6477464, in view of Peterzell et al., 2003/0040292.
- 5. McCarthey et al. disclose a GPS antenna(12'); a GPS receiver(14') coupled to the antenna that includes a synthesizer(inherent); a bluetooth antenna and transceiver with synthesizer (inherent) on lines 34-59, on column 2; one module (17) holding the GPS receiver, bluetooth receiver and antenna; the GPS antenna is mounted on the module in figure 2; a rear view mirror support the module in figure 2; the bluetooth transceiver receives data from the GPS receiver and transmits the data on lines 42-52. on column 2 and on lines 23-57, on column 5 and the incorporated by reference disclosures in that section, specifically application 09/793002, now patent 6690268; the bluetooth transceiver receives data from at least one vehicle sensor and transmits the data on lines 23-57, on column 5 and the incorporated by reference disclosures in that section; and the data is transmitted to a portable computing device selected from one of a PDA, wireless telephones, and a laptop, for display on lines 48-67, on column 3. McCarthey et al. do not disclose a reference oscillator providing signals to both the GPS receiver synthesizer and bluetooth transceiver synthesizer; and a dual SAW filter package in the module, signals from both antennae being filtered through the SAW filter package. Peterzell et al. teach a reference oscillator providing signals to both the GPS receiver synthesizer and bluetooth transceiver synthesizer in paragraph 63; and a dual SAW filter package in the module, signals from both antennae being filtered through the SAW filter package(70). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to

use the oscillator and SAW filter of Peterzell et al. in the invention of McCarthey et al. because such modification would provide for a less expensive construction through reducing current needs and board area by eliminating the need for more than one oscillator as disclosed by Peterzell et al. in paragraph 83. Using a SAW filter is a design choice. SAW filters were well known in the art at the time the invention was made because of their bandpass filter shape factors.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Broadhead whose telephone number is 571-272-6957. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on 571-272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BJB